NMR Studies of Chemical Exchange Processes in Intramolecularly Hydrogen Bonded o-Hydroxy-carbonyl Compounds
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Activation parameters for the restricted internal rotation in phenols with carbonyl residues in the 2- and 6-positions and in resorcinols with a carbonyl function in the 2-position were determined using complete lineshape analysis or coalescence measurements. Variations of the observed barriers with solvent and concentration and the large negative entropies of activation are discussed. A complex mechanism is suggested for the interconversion of the conformers to account for the experimental findings. IR frequencies for the OH-stretching vibration are recorded and compared with values for intermolecular complexes.

In contrast to the vast number of investigations dealing with the strength of intermolecular hydrogen bonds, quantitative data on intramolecular bonds are more sparse. In the case of intermolecular hydrogen bonds it is most straightforward to determine either equilibrium constants, from which the enthalpy changes on complex formation may be calculated, or to record these changes directly by forming the adducts. The $\Delta H^\circ$-values determined in this way are then frequently correlated with IR and PMR data for the chelated proton. 1-4 For intramolecularly chelated hydrogens similar relations are not readily established due to the presence of one overwhelmingly predominant form in the equilibrium. In fact, only in the case of rather weak hydrogen bonds between a phenolic OH-group and an adjacent halogen, was it possible to indirectly determine a value for the strength of this bond. 5-8

In phenols with donor substituents ortho to the OH-group, the intramolecular hydrogen bond should stabilize the planar or nearly planar ground state 9,10 compared to the nonplanar transition state of internal rotation. Rotation of the donor — i.e. carbonyl residues — interchanges the chemical environments of $\text{H}^1$ and $\text{H}^2$ (see formulae) and that of the two protons in the meta positions with respect to the OH-group,
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thus allowing the barrier to internal rotation to be studied by $^1\text{H}$ NMR lineshape analysis. It was anticipated that the height of this rotational barrier as measured by $\Delta G^\pm$ or $\Delta H^\pm$ should at least partly reflect the strength of the internal hydrogen bond. Values for 1 and 2 were recently published by Tabei, Tetzuka and Hiroita. 11 Our own results, which for 1 are based on the complete lineshape equations, instead of approximate formulas, are included in the publication.

**EXPERIMENTAL**

Melting and boiling points are not corrected. Melting points were determined on a Kofler microscope. IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin Elmer model 257 double beam grating spectrophotometer, using 0.1 mm or 1.0 mm NaCl cells. Mass spectra were run on an LKB 9000 A mass spectrometer with attached gas chromatography (GC) unit. $^1\text{H}$ NMR shift differences in Hz refer to spectra at 100 MHz.

**a. Synthesis of materials**

2,6-Diformyl-4-chlorophenol (1) was prepared by a procedure analogous to that described by
the mixture refluxed for 2 h. It was then acidified with dil. HCl, washed with water and aqu. K₂CO₃, dried and the solvent evaporated. The residual oil on distillation gave a fraction of 3 ml with b.p. 100 – 160 °C/0.1 mm Hg which showed strong carbonyl absorption near 1680 cm⁻¹ in its IR spectrum. GC/mass spectral analysis (3 % Silicon gum, 160 °C) of this oil revealed among other products with higher molecular weight a GC-peak (Rₚ = 3.5 min) with m/e = 206, indicating 2,6-diacectyl-4-methylnleisole. The oil was then warmed with 5 ml 20 % HCl to hydrolyze possible esters derived from the starting acid, alkylized and extracted with CH₂Cl₂. The dried CH₂Cl₂-solution was treated with excess BCl₃(CH₂)₄O at room temp., the solvent and excess BCl₃ removed in vacuo and the residue extracted with 10 % NaOH at 0 °C. The resulting phenolate solution was washed with ether, acidified and extracted with ether. After drying, evaporation of the solvent left 170 mg of a solid which was purified by thick layer chromatography on Silica gel (Merck HF₂45)/CHCl₃ yielding 117 mg pure 3 as yellowish crystals, melting at 70 – 72 °C after sublimation in vacuo. (Found: C 68.7; H 6.3. Req. C 69.2; H 6.4). IR (CCl₄): ν CO at 1645 and 1685 cm⁻¹. 

1H NMR (CDCl₃): τ = 7.56 (4-methyl), τ = 7.20 (acetyl), τ = 2.04 (aromatic protons), τ = -3.34 (OH).

2,6-Dicarbomethoxy-4-methyl phenol (4) was prepared from the corresponding acid 12 and diazomethane. The well-protected OH-group does not react with excess diazomethane. The product was white crystals melting at 119 °C after sublimation in vacuo. 1H NMR (CDCl₃): τ = 7.63 (4-methyl), τ = 6.07 (COOCH₃), τ = 2.07 (aromatic protons), τ = -1.84 (OH).

Methyl 4-resorcylicate (5). A solution of 4-resorcylic acid in methanol was saturated with HCl gas and warmed for 5 h on a water bath. The product, which is insoluble in saturated NaHCO₃, was collected. After sublimation it had m.p. 68 – 70 °C.

b. 1H NMR lineshape measurements

1H NMR spectra were recorded on Varian A 60, HA 100, and XL 100 instruments. Complete lineshape analysis was performed only on 100 MHz spectra. Temperatures are 35 °C when not stated otherwise. Low temperature measurement was done with a capillary fixed in the center of the NMR tube and filled with a mixture of methanol and dimethyl ether. The chemical shift difference between the ether CH₂ and the methanol OH-protons was calibrated against the temperature. Temperatures measured in this way are believed to be accurate to at least ± 0.3 degrees. When the signals from the capillary interfered with those of the compound or the solvent, as was the case with 3 and 6, respectively, the same capillary in a separate NMR...
tube was used to check the temperature before
and after recording the spectrum. Observed tem-
perature differences were within 1 °C. Simula-
tion of experimental $^1$H NMR line shapes was
carried out with computer programs based on
McConnell's equations for the interchange of
two uncoupled nuclei and on the treatment of
Alexander for the strongly coupled cases.$^{14}$

RESULTS

In order to perform a meaningful lineshape
analysis, i.e. to evaluate the mean life time $\tau$
for a conformer at different temperatures by
matching theoretical curves to experimental
ones in such "simple" cases as coupled or
uncoupled AB-systems, the shift difference $\Delta \nu$
and the natural line width $1/\pi T_1$ at any tem-
perature must in principle be known to remove
ambiguities. These requirements are never fully
met in the present cases. They are best fulfilled
by compounds $I$ and $5$ where it is possible to
extend the measurements far enough below the
coalescence point to allow an extrapolation of
$\Delta \nu$. $2$ does not give sharp signals for the aromatic
protons and provides little information in addition
to that extracted from the spectra of $I$. The
difference in activation energy between $I$ and $2$
is believed to be smaller than the present ex-
perimental errors (see also Ref. 11). In $3$ and $4$
the shift difference between the coalescing meth-
yl signals is too small for a complete separation
at low temperature. The resorcinol derivative
2,6-dihydroxyacetophenone (6) is not soluble in
the relatively inert solvents that can be used for
the other compounds. Therefore the activa-
tion parameters in these solvents can only be
presented for $I$ and $5$. In the other cases values
for $\Delta G^\ddagger$ are given, which were evaluated by
fitting calculated to experimental curves near the
coalescent point.

To ensure that the signal averaging process
can be neither due to nor concerted with an
intermolecular proton exchange, the latter was
shown to be slow compared with the intramole-
cular rotation of aldehyde and ester groups in
compounds of the type in question. A mixture of
the ester $5$ with its $p$-methoxy derivative
shows separate OH signals up to 90°. This has
also been demonstrated for a mixture of the
aldehydes $I$ and $2$ whose OH protons do not
rapidly exchange at elevated temperatures.
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For compound $I$ both the signals from the
aromatic protons and those from the aldehyde
protons ($H^1$ and $H^2$) could be matched with
calculated curves, giving essentially the same
values for the mean life time $\tau$. Shift differences
of both the aromatic and the aldehyde protons
increase with temperature and were extrapo-
lated from low temperature measurements.
Apparent differences in line width between the
aromatic protons at low temperature are attri-
buted to ring proton-side chain long-range
couplings such as those observed in similar
systems $^{14}$ (see formula). The signal due to $H^3$
(at lower field) is about 0.5 Hz broader than that
of $H^4$ due to a small coupling with the OH
proton. The AB quartet from the aromatic
protons was thus treated as an ABX case with
nuclei A and B interchanging and with a natural
line width $1/\pi T_1$ of 1.8 Hz together with cou-
ping constants $J_{AX} = 0.5 \ J_{BX} = 0$ and $J_{AB} = 2.6$
Hz. At higher temperatures gradually larger
$T_1$ values have been used to account for the
smaller natural linewidth. Measurements were
performed on 0.1 M solutions in 1:1 mixtures of
$CD_2Cl_2/CDCl_3$ as a compromise between solu-
bility and low freezing point.

The internal rotation of $5$ can only be studied
from temperature-dependent linewidths of the
OH proton signal(s), the spectral changes in
the aromatic region being too small. As a con-
sequence of the very large shift difference between
the strongly and the more weakly bonded OH
proton at low temperature (255 Hz at $-100$ °C;
see also Table 1), essentially no $^1$H NMR absorp-
tion intensity is observed between $-65$ °C and
$-25$ °C. The Arrhenius plot thus has a low- and
a high-temperature part, covering an overall
range of about 120 °C. Also in this case the
temperature dependence of the chemical shifts
were extrapolated from low temperature data.

The aromatic protons of $3$ and $4$ are rather
strongly coupled to the adjacent methyl group
and are thus not suited for a complete lineshape
analysis. The shift difference between the lines
from the acetyl and carboxymethyl protons in
$3$ and $4$, respectively, was too small for a
complete separation, as mentioned above. The
lines from these protons are still broadened at
the lowest temperatures that could be reached
in the NMR probe (about $-130$ °C). The $\Delta G^\ddagger$
value at the coalescence temperature as given in
Table 1 may therefore include a somewhat
Table 1. Activation parameters and chemical shifts of compounds 1 to 9.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>$\Delta v^a$ at t°C</th>
<th>$\tau_{OH}^b$</th>
<th>$E_a$ kcal/mol</th>
<th>$\Delta H^\pm$ kcal/mol</th>
<th>$\Delta G^\pm$ kcal/mol</th>
<th>$\Delta S^\pm$ t°C</th>
<th>$t_c$ °C (coalesc. temp.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CD$_2$Cl$_4$/CDCl$_3$</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>107 (H$_{arom}$)</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>94 (CHO)</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>9.07 ± 0.3</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>100 (COCH$_3$)</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>120 (OCH$_3$)</td>
<td>-1.84</td>
<td>8.85 ± 0.4</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>CHFCl$_3$</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>100 (OH)</td>
<td>+1.30 at-125°C</td>
<td>7.53 ± 0.12</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>10.8 ± 0.13</td>
<td>14.2 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>358</td>
<td>100 (OH)</td>
<td>+0.35 at-323°C</td>
<td>5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>8.3 ± 0.4</td>
<td>-18 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(C$_2$H$_5$)$_2$O</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>103°C</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>-123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>-99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Chemical shift difference in Hz at 100 MHz of the interchanging protons at low temperature. $^b$ Chemical shift of the chelated OH protons at +35°C for 1, 3, 4, at -100°C for 5 and 6. The high field proton in 5 is the one that is only weakly hydrogen bonded; in 6 the high field value refers to the “free” OH group (see also text). $^c$ These values are subject to greater uncertainty due to estimations used in their evaluation (see text). Errors given with $I$ and 5 are calculated from the least-squares sums from the Arrhenius plots. $^d$ Values taken from Ref. 15. $^e$ The uncertainty in $\Delta v$ is due to the overlap of the high field part of the temperature-dependent aldehyde signal with the absorption due to the other aldehyde proton. $^f$ From complete line shape measurements. $^g$ From fitting of calculated to experimental curves near the coalescent point.
greater uncertainty than for the other compounds.

2,6-Dihydroxyacetophenone (6) has one "free", or non-intramolecularly hydrogen-bonded OH group, which makes this compound insoluble in solvents even of the polarity of chloroform. In acetone, where it is readily dissolved, coalescence does not occur down to the freezing point of the solvent. As a compromise between requirements of "inertness" and solubility, diethyl ether was used as a solvent. The temperature had to be checked separately with the capillary between measurements. Only signals above the coalescence temperature were used for the evaluation of the activation parameters, taking $\Delta v$ from a low temperature spectrum where the OH signals are separated but still exchange-broadened.

The activation parameters of 1 through 6 are collected in Table 1, which also contains the three aldehydes 7, 8, and 9 with no internal hydrogen bonds at the interesting moiety, to allow comparisons. The values for benzaldehyde (7) and p-methoxybenzaldehyde (8) are taken from a paper by Anet and Ahmad.\textsuperscript{13}

4-Hydroxyisophthalaldehyde (9) has an electronic structure close to those of 1 and 2, but one free aldehyde group. The activation enthalpy for the interconversion of the free aldehyde group in 9 should thus be relevant to the series of interest. Proton $H^1$ of compound 9 (see formula) splits into a doublet below $-113^\circ C$ in dimethyl ether as solvent. The high field signal due to $H^1$ then overlaps with the signal from $H^3$, which renders $\Delta v$ somewhat uncertain. Taking $\Delta v = 8 \pm 1.5$ Hz from a spectrum at $-130^\circ C$, the $\Delta G^\mp$ given in Table 1 can be calculated.

The nearly equal values of $\Delta G^\mp$ for the chloroaldehyde 1 and the ketone 3 initiated an experiment in which the rate of interconversion of an aldehyde and that of the corresponding ketone at a fixed temperature could be directly compared. For exact comparison of $k$ values at the same temperature, the 4-methyl aldehyde 2 was chosen instead of its chloro analogue 1. The temperature-dependent lines of a 1:1 mixture of 2 and 3 in CDCl$_3$/CD$_2$Cl$_2$ were recorded at $-70^\circ C$. Simulated spectra could be fit with a $k$ value of $3.3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for 2 and one of $10 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for 3 at this temperature, indicating a slower rotation of the aldehyde.

**Influence of solvent and concentration**

A marked influence of the solvent on the rotational barriers was not unexpectedly noted in many experiments. A solvent which can act as a hydrogen acceptor generally lowers the barrier. Table 2 is a compilation of activation parameters for 5 and 6 in different solvents. Diethyl ether is the only solvent in which the activation parameters of the compounds are directly comparable. 6 is not soluble in chloroform-like solvents (see above). For the ester 5 the rate of interconversion is enhanced on going from CHFCl$_3$ to diethyl ether so that the splitting of the OH signal at low temperature was no longer observable. Assuming a value for $\Delta v$ similar to that in CHFCl$_3$, the mean life $\tau$ was calculated from the width of the broadened line due to both OH protons at $-90^\circ C$. Note that coalescence of 5 in CHFCl$_3$ occurs around $-50^\circ C$. Thus the difference in $\Delta G^\mp$ for the two solvents in the case of compound 5 is about 3 kcal/mol. Coalescence of the OH protons of 6 in ether is observed around $-70^\circ C$. In the more strongly proton accepting solvent acetone, only a slight broadening of the OH signal was noted.

**Table 2. Variation of $\Delta G^\mp$ with solvent.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>$\Delta G^\mp$ (kcal/mol)</th>
<th>Temp. (°C)</th>
<th>Mean life time $\tau$ of rotamer (s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>CHFCl$_3$</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>$-92.3$</td>
<td>$9 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>CHFCl$_3$</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>$+6.1$</td>
<td>$5.1 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(CH$_3$)$_2$O</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>$-92.3$</td>
<td>$5.1 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>(CH$_3$)$_2$O</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>$-70.0$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>(CH$_3$)$_2$CO</td>
<td>no coalescence; broadened signals at $-100^\circ C$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

down to $-100^\circ$ C, when the solvent freezes. It would be difficult to substitute these more qualitative figures by quantitative data because of the low solubility and the very low temperatures that are needed to separate the different OH protons under conditions of slow rotation.

To further substantiate the significance of the strongly negative values for $\Delta S^+$ in Table 1, a study of the dependence of line shape upon concentration at a fixed temperature was performed with two compounds. The aldehyde signal of 1 above coalescence temperature became broader on dilution (Table 3), indicating a slower rotation at lower concentrations. A similar result was obtained with 5, where the OH signals below coalescence sharpened when the concentration was decreased. The $k$ values for the two experiments, as given in Table 3, are extracted from calculated plots of the linewidth $\Delta v_{1/2}$ vs. $k$ for the pertinent compounds with all other parameters the same as those used in the evaluation of the activation parameters of Table 1.

**Infrared studies**

Table 4 collects the IR stretching frequencies of the OH groups of compounds 1 through 6. Data for salicylaldehyde and o-hydroxyacetophenone are included from Ref. 5. For 1, 3, and 4 (the spectrum of 2 closely resembles that of 1), one absorption due to the intramolecularly bonded proton is seen. 6 has a "free" OH absorption at 3590 cm$^{-1}$ and one broad band around 3050 cm$^{-1}$ which must be assigned to the hydrogen bond. The IR spectrum of 5 very nicely shows the difference between the strongly (towards the carbonyl oxygen) and weakly (towards the methoxy oxygen) bonded OH proton with absorptions at 3470 and 3200 cm$^{-1}$, respectively. Concentrations were kept at 0.01 M, or below. Compound 1 was studied in CCl$_4$ in the concentration range 0.05 to 0.0025 M and from the absence of any changes in the spectrum it was concluded that the observed bands near 3000 cm$^{-1}$ are due to monomers rather than higher associates.

To see how the spectrum would be influenced by the addition of bases such as pyridine or triethylamine which are known to form strong

**Table 3. Concentration dependence of the line-width of 1 and 5.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comp.</th>
<th>Conc. (mol/l)</th>
<th>Temp. (°C)</th>
<th>$\Delta v$Hz$^a$</th>
<th>$k$ (s$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>$10^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>$0.5 \times 10^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.274</td>
<td>-100</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>$0.3 \times 10^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.137</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>$0.19 \times 10^3$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Signal width at half height.

**Table 4. Activation parameters, IR frequencies ($v_{OH}$) and chemical shifts ($\tau_{OH}$).$^a$**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>$\Delta H^+$ (kcal/mol)</th>
<th>$\Delta G^+$ (kcal/mol)</th>
<th>$v_{OH}$ (cm$^{-1}$)$^b$</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>$\tau_{OH}$</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CD$_2$Cl$_2$</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>3080b</td>
<td>CCl$_4$</td>
<td>-1.58</td>
<td>CD$_2$Cl$_2$/CD$_2$Cl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>CD$_2$Cl$_2$</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3-34 Cl/CD$_2$Cl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>CFHCl$_4$</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>3150b</td>
<td>CCl$_4$</td>
<td>-1.84</td>
<td>CHFCl$_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>CFHCl$_2$</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>3470b</td>
<td>CCl$_4$</td>
<td>-1.12</td>
<td>CHFCl$_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Et$_3$O</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>3590s</td>
<td>CDCl$_3$</td>
<td>-3.24</td>
<td>Et$_3$O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>near 3050b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salicylaldehyde$^d$</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>3140</td>
<td>CCl$_4^4$</td>
<td>-2.37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o-Hydroxyacetophenone$^d$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3040</td>
<td>CCl$_4^4$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ For temperatures to which activation parameters and $\tau$ values refer, see Table 1. $^b$ s, sharp; b, broad. $^c$ Interfering with methyl-stretch near 3000. $^d$ From Ref. 5.

intermolecular complexes with phenols in hydrocarbons or CCl₄, spectra were also recorded in the presence of these bases. The samples were added to a solution of the base in CCl₄ using the same solution as reference in the double beam spectrometer. Only the “free” OH group of δ at 3590 cm⁻¹ is broadened and shifted to lower frequencies on addition of base. In no other case was a significant change in the IR spectrum noted on addition of pyridine or triethylamine in great excess. This is especially noteworthy for δ, whose weak hydrogen bond towards the ether oxygen is obviously not broken by a strong base. On the other hand, the relative strength of hydrogen bonds in pyridine/phenol and carbonyl/phenol complexes is illustrated by the fact that the absorption at 3250 cm⁻¹ in a solution of p-hydroxybenzaldehyde in chloroform, which must be assigned to the stretching mode of the intermolecular =O⋅⋅⋅H−O− complexed OH-group is shifted towards 3000 cm⁻¹ on addition of pyridine.

Fig. 1 is a plot of $\Delta\nu_{OH}$ vs. the chemical shifts of the proton. It is seen that with the exception of 1 the frequently observed correlation 7,8 between IR frequencies and chemical shifts exists also in the present cases.

![Graph showing $\Delta\nu_{OH}$ vs. chemical shift $\nu_{OH}$.]

**Fig. 1.** Plot of the infrared shift $\Delta\nu_{OH}$ vs. the chemical shift $\nu_{OH}$.

**DISCUSSION**

In the initial stages of this work, our main goal was an attempt towards the determination of the strength of intramolecular hydrogen bonds between a phenolic OH group and an adjacent carbonyl function. If the internal rotation of the latter is associated with the rupture and reforming of the intramolecular hydrogen bond, the enthalpy of this bond will make a significant contribution to the overall barrier. This should be corroborated by a variation of the barrier height with the basicity of the carbonyl group acting as the donor.

Comparison of the activation parameters from Table 1 makes it evident that $\Delta G^\ddagger$ and $\Delta H^\ddagger$ for compounds 1 through 6 are not very much greater than those observed in the structurally related aldehydes 7, 8, and 9, which do not have a hydrogen bond. Apart from the hydrogen bond interaction energy, it would be expected that the presence of one or more OH groups ortho or para to the carbonyl group would cause a slight increase in the barrier to internal rotation, whereas a second carbonyl group in the meta position should exert a minor effect. The measured $\Delta G^\ddagger$ values for 7 through 9 indicate the correctness of this assumption.

The strengths of intramolecular bonds in chelated phenols such as o-hydroxyacetophenone have never been directly determined. From the low chemical shift of the chelated proton and its broad infrared absorption at low frequencies, as well as the lack of unchelated, “open” conformer in the equilibrium, it was frequently concluded that these hydrogen bonds are rather strong, 14-18 i.e. $\geq 5$ kcal/mol. A figure of this order of magnitude must be considered a lower limit if the relations between $\Delta H^\ddagger$ and IR as well as $^1H$ NMR shifts for intermolecular complexes are applied to the intramolecular chelates (see below). If the interconversion process in 1 through 6 would require a complete breaking of the hydrogen bond, the enthalpy change for this process must be added to the enthalpy of activation for the rotation of a non-hydrogen bonded aromatic carbonyl compound. Thus the barriers would be expected to be at least of the order of 13 to 15 kcal/mol. The experimental values in Table 1 are significantly lower. Two alternative conclusions may be drawn from these findings:

(i) The intramolecular hydrogen bond energies in chelated phenols have been greatly overestimated, or

(ii) the interconversion process in 1 through 6 occurs in such a way that the hydrogen bond energy is partly retained, for example through solvent interaction or formation of bimolecular...
complexes.*

Significant differences in the activation parameters of Table 1 in the series I through 6 are observed between aldehydes and ketones compared with the esters, the latter having barriers lower by roughly 2 kcal/mol (compare I, 3 and 5). The order is the same as that found by Porte, Gutowsky and Hunsberger 14 in a 1H NMR study, where increasing chemical shifts indicated a stronger hydrogen bond in the order ester, aldehyde, ketone. Comparison of the $\Delta G^\ddagger$ values in Table 1 and the hydroxyl chemical shifts in I, 3, and 4 makes it clear that these two parameters are not exactly parallel because the greater downfield shift of 3 compared with 1 is not reflected in the barrier (see also below). The lower barrier of the ester 4 may be due to a weaker hydrogen bond or to a less pronounced double bond character of the C=O bond. The same trend is revealed again in compounds 5 and 6 in ether solution (Table 2), where the difference between 5 ($\Delta G^\ddagger = 93$ kcal/mol) and 6 ($\Delta G^\ddagger = 70$ kcal/mol) can hardly be attributed to the temperature difference of 23 °C. The difference between 4 and 5 as reflected by the $\Delta G^\ddagger$ values at $-103$ °C is indicative of the weak second hydrogen bond present only in 5.

The greater stabilization of the ketone relative to the aldehyde, which would be expected from 1H NMR and IR data,15,16 is not observable from the activation energy data in Table 1.

Direct evidence for which group has the greater hydrogen bonding capacity is given by 2-acetyl-6-formylphenol, where the pattern of long-range couplings in a sample in CDCl3 solution at room temperature is only consistent with a conformer that has its hydrogen bond directed exclusively towards the acetyl group.19 On the other hand, the $k$-values for the mixture of 2 and 3 clearly show a faster rotation in the ketone. From the IR absorption studies of the OH and C=O stretching frequencies of salicylaldehyde and o-hydroxyacetophenone, the difference in hydrogen bond energy between a chelated aldehyde and a ketone would be expected to be of the order of 1 kcal/mol, in favor of the ketone, if the equation of Drago and Epley 2 (see eqn. (1) p. 540) is applied to the values given in Ref. 14 (see Table 4). The fact that this difference is not reflected in the rotational barriers of I, 2, and 3 may be rationalized by a bimolecular complex undergoing rotation (see below). Here the assistance of a second molecule parallels its hydrogen bonding capacity, which cancels the stronger stabilisation of the ground state.

The solvent dependence of the barriers demonstrated with compounds 5 and 6 is in accord with the findings of Frankle and Lasllo,20 who noted a marked decrease of the barrier to internal rotation in Vorländer adducts (di(4,4-dimethylocyclohexanedione(2,6)]methanes) when changing to solvents with a greater hydrogen bonding ability. The authors suggest that in the cases of basic solvents the interconverting species are those whose internal hydrogen bonds are broken by the solvent. The IR absorptions of such complexes should be different from those in the intramolecularly hydrogen bonded molecules, but this could not be confirmed with the present substances. Besides the IR shifts, the 1H NMR shifts also seem to be rather insensitive towards added base. Pyridine for example, added in great excess to a dilute sample of salicylaldehyde, shifts the OH proton not more than a few Hz to lower field, an effect that may reflect solvent anisotropy changes rather than the formation of appreciable amounts of a species with a broken intramolecular hydrogen bond. Considering the very high rates established for the formation of hydrogen bonds,21 only a small fraction of intermolecularly hydrogen bonded molecules in the equilibrium is necessary to account for the enhanced rotational rates. The influence of concentration on the interconversion rate, which was demonstrated with compounds 1 and 5, suggests a second-order process. Because of the relatively small range of concentrations accessible, it was not possible to establish quantitative depend-

* Note added in proof. A recently performed calorimetric determination of the heats of neutralization for the tetrabutylammonium salts of salicylaldehyde and 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde in butanone as a solvent did give values $\Delta H_{acetr} = -19.8$ kcal/mol for the 2-hydroxy- and $\Delta H_{acetr} = -19.0$ kcal/mol for the 4-hydroxycompound. This minor difference in neutralization enthalpy is corroborating our suggestion that hydrogen bonding of intramolecularly chelated phenols to proton accepting solvents is of major importance.

We are indebted to Doc. G. Olofsson at the Thermochemical Inst., Kemiocentr. in Lund for doing the measurements.

ence of the rotational rates upon the concentration and thus evaluating the kinetic order of the process in question. A purely second order process would require the interconversion rate to be proportional to the concentration. The observed effects are slightly smaller and therefore different pathways must also be taken into account, which may be operative to varying extents depending on the compound, the solvent and the concentration.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{1} \quad \text{complex formation} \quad & AX_n \quad \text{rotation} \quad AX'_n \\
\text{2} \quad \text{rotation} \quad & \quad \text{dissociation} \quad \text{rotation} \\
\quad \text{3} \quad \text{rotation} \quad & \quad \text{dissociation} \quad \\
A' \quad & A' + nX
\end{align*}
\]

Fig. 2. Possible reaction pathways for the interconversion of 1 through 6.

Fig. 2 depicts two such pathways, where A is the interconverting molecule and X is either a second A molecule or a solvent molecule. The fraction of AXₙ may be small in the equilibrium, but if process 1 is fast enough compared to 2 the latter will still be rate determining. It is further assumed that rotation of the uncomplexed molecules, i.e. process 3, is much slower than 2. An overall rate which is composed of individual pathways differing in the association number n and the nature of X may then account for the experimental results.

Although our experimental data seem to be insufficient to determine the exact nature of the interconverting species, two possible models for the complex AXₙ are depicted in Fig. 3. Path I (AXₙ = Iₐ) implies that complex formation occurs prior to and kinetically independent of the rupture of the intramolecular bond, the latter weakening successively in favour of the intermolecular bond on reaching the transition state of rotation. In II the intramolecular bond is broken together with complex formation (IIb) followed by rotation of the carbonyl residue. Both pathways account for the solvent and concentration dependence of the barriers observed. An argument in favour of II is the large negative entropy of activation. Complexes of type Ia should make a substantial equilibrium fraction and the degree of ordering of the solvated chelate should not be drastically changed during the interconversion. The net entropy effects should then be small. In contrast, the equilibrium fraction of complexes of type IIb should be small, and as long as \( k_{-1} \gg k_1 \), the activation entropy, \( \Delta S^\ddagger \), is largely determined by the association process.

Intermolecular complexes formed by bases like carbonyl compounds or amines with phenols have been the subject of numerous investigations, and \( \Delta H^o \) values for the formation of these complexes have recently been determined by direct thermodynamic measurements of the heat of mixing by Drago and coworkers. Drago and Epley thus found \( \Delta H^o \) values for the systems phenol-pyridine and phenol/triethylamine to be \(-7.2\) and \(-8.3\) kcal/mol respec-
tively, whereas carbonyl bases like acetone yield enthalpies of only $\Delta H^\circ = 5$ kcal/mol.\textsuperscript{3} The fact that no spectral changes occur on addition of pyridine or triethylamine to the phenols 1 through 6 (not even the "weak" hydrogen bond in 6 is altered) may be rationalized by the negative entropies of mixing observed in several cases.\textsuperscript{4,18} Part of the enthalpy expected to favour the intermolecular complex is consumed by the entropy change that parallels the breaking of the intramolecular or the formation of the intermolecular bond. This finding is further support for the path II discussed above, where the interconversion process observed in the NMR is connected with a substantial change in entropy.

Drago and Epley\textsuperscript{\textdegree} present the equation

$$\Delta H^\circ = (0.015 d_{\nu OH} + 3) \text{ kcal/mol} \quad (1)$$

which relates the enthalpy change on formation of the hydrogen bond to the corresponding IR frequency shift. If applied to the intramolecularly hydrogen bonded phenols, a $\Delta H^\circ$ value of 8.7 kcal/mol follows for 6 if $d_{\nu OH}$ is equated to the frequency difference between the complexed and the uncomplexed OH group (see Table 4). Similar values are obtained for the other compounds in the series if the absorption of free phenol in dilute solution at 3600 cm$^{-1}$ is taken as reference. Though it appears uncertain if the above equation also gives correct results for intramolecular chelates, it should allow at least an estimate of their strength. From the activation parameters it then appears quite obvious that the major fraction of the hydrogen bond enthalpy must be conserved during the internal rotation by either intermolecular association or through solvation by the polar solvents.
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