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The thermodynamics of transfer of sparingly soluble electrolytes from light to heavy water can be readily studied by solubility measurements. The ionic strength corrections are then small enough to permit reliable extrapolation to zero ionic strength. For more soluble salts, such as potassium chloride, other methods have been devised. However, it is a very disturbing fact that the reported standard free energy values for even such a formally simple process as

$$K^+(H_2O) + Cl^-(H_2O) = K^+(D_2O) + Cl^-(D_2O)$$

are widely divergent. Salomaa and Aalto measured the difference between the EMF's of the cells

$\begin{align*}
\text{Ag, AgCl/KCl(H}_2\text{O)/K( amalgam)} \\
\text{Ag, AgCl/KCl(D}_2\text{O)/K( amalgam)}
\end{align*}$

without liquid junction and arrived at a value of \(900 \pm 40\) J/mol at \(25^\circ C\). In contrast, Greyston obtained \(525 \pm 50\) J/mol from the EMF of the cell

$\begin{align*}
\text{Ag, AgCl/KCl(H}_2\text{O)} / \text{KKCl(D}_2\text{O)/AgCl, Ag}
\end{align*}$

in which the double bars represent a cation exchange membrane.

We report here the results of an independent determination of the transfer free energy in question. Our method involves the measurement of the standard free energy values of the processes (IV), (V), and (VI). All the solutes in question are sparingly soluble and hence the ionic strength corrections can be calculated by means of the Debye-Hückel equation. The standard free energy change for process (I) is simply the sum of those for

$$K^+(H_2O) + Pi^-(H_2O) = K^+(D_2O) + Pi^-(D_2O)$$

(IV) and (VI) minus that for (V). Process (VI) has been previously studied by Kingerly and Lamar.

$$Ti^+(H_2O) + Pi^-(H_2O) = Ti^+(D_2O) + Pi^-(D_2O)$$

(V)

$$Ti^+(H_2O) + Cl^-(H_2O) = Ti^+(D_2O) + Cl^-(D_2O)$$

(VI)

A possible drawback to the above method which deserves most careful consideration is that the analytical solubility measurements do not distinguish between simple and associated ions. Bearing this in mind, the solubilities of the picrates were also measured in the presence of an added common ion, \(K^+\) or \(Ti^+\) ion, but no differences were evident in the calculated values of the solubility products (see below). This showed that complex formation was not significant in the studied solutions. Further, various literature values for the equilibrium constant of the reaction

$$Ti^+(H_2O) + Cl^-(H_2O) = TiCl(D_2O)$$

and the solubility data indicate that only 2 to 5 \% of the dissolved thallium(1) chloride in equilibrium with the solid phase is undissociated. This will cause only a minor error when calculating the solubility product, which, moreover, will largely cancel out from the ratio of the solubility products in \(H_2O\) and \(D_2O\). Even if such cancellation were not complete, the free energy change for (VI), and hence that for (I), would be slightly underestimated, not overestimated, as the transfer free energies from \(H_2O\) to \(D_2O\) are definitely higher for ionic species than for nonionic species composed of similar constituents.

Reagent grade chemicals were used throughout. Potassium and thallium(I) picrates were prepared from picric acid and potassium and thallium(I) nitrates. They were first precipitated from solutions of picric acid in 50 % aqueous ethanol at 60°C. After cooling, the precipitates were collected by filtration and washed several times, first with cold water and subsequently with cold ethanol. The products were purified further by three recrystallizations from 50 % ethanol. The potassium picrate was then dried in vacuo during several weeks. The yellow modification of thallium(I) picrate first prepared and
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Table 1. Solubilities of potassium and thallium(I) picrates in light and heavy water at 25°C. I = ionic strength (mol l⁻¹), (Pi) = picrate concentration (mol l⁻¹) at equilibrium. $K_s^\circ$ is the uncorrected value of the solubility product (mol² l⁻⁴), and $K_s$ the value at zero ionic strength.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Salt</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>Added electrolyte</th>
<th>(Pi)</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>$10^4K_s$</th>
<th>$10^4K_s^\circ$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KPi</td>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.02321</td>
<td>0.02321</td>
<td>5.39</td>
<td>4.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00990 M KNO₃</td>
<td>0.01867</td>
<td>0.02857</td>
<td>5.33</td>
<td>3.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.02085</td>
<td>0.01498</td>
<td>0.03583</td>
<td>5.37</td>
<td>3.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.03013</td>
<td>0.01305</td>
<td>0.04138</td>
<td>5.64</td>
<td>3.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.03987</td>
<td>0.01161</td>
<td>0.05148</td>
<td>5.98</td>
<td>4.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPi</td>
<td>D₂O</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.01886</td>
<td>0.01886</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>2.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.01058 M KNO₃</td>
<td>0.01392</td>
<td>0.02448</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>2.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.01992</td>
<td>0.01197</td>
<td>0.03189</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.03175</td>
<td>0.00944</td>
<td>0.04119</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>2.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIPi</td>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.01096</td>
<td>0.01096</td>
<td>1.201</td>
<td>0.974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.01107</td>
<td>0.01107</td>
<td>1.225</td>
<td>0.993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.01090</td>
<td>0.01090</td>
<td>1.188</td>
<td>0.963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.01099</td>
<td>0.01099</td>
<td>1.208</td>
<td>0.979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.01088</td>
<td>0.01086</td>
<td>1.179</td>
<td>0.956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.01085</td>
<td>0.01085</td>
<td>1.190</td>
<td>0.972</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.01023 M TINO₃</td>
<td>0.00735</td>
<td>0.01758</td>
<td>1.292</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.01521</td>
<td>0.00607</td>
<td>0.02128</td>
<td>1.292</td>
<td>0.979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.02006</td>
<td>0.00521</td>
<td>0.02527</td>
<td>1.317</td>
<td>0.979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIPi</td>
<td>D₂O</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.00829</td>
<td>0.00829</td>
<td>0.687</td>
<td>0.571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.00823</td>
<td>0.00823</td>
<td>0.677</td>
<td>0.562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.00835</td>
<td>0.00835</td>
<td>0.697</td>
<td>0.570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.00825</td>
<td>0.00825</td>
<td>0.681</td>
<td>0.566</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recrystallized by the above procedures was not the thermodynamically stable modification at 25°C. It was therefore left in contact with its saturated water solution for several months, during which its complete conversion to the stable red modification occurred. The salt was then washed with cold anhydrous ethanol and dried in vacuo. The purified salts were used not only in the solubility measurements, but also as standard substances in gravimetric analyses (see below).

The purification and analysis of the heavy water employed have been described earlier. The deuterium atom fraction was 0.9882.

In the solubility measurements, 1.5 to 2 g of each picrate was mechanically agitated in a carefully sealed flask with 50 ml of water (or a water solution containing added electrolyte), first for one day at 35°C and then for at least one week at 25.00 ± 0.02°C. The solid phases were allowed to settle and 40 ml volumes of the supernatant liquid phases were withdrawn and analyzed for picrate.

The analyses were performed by a gravimetric procedure of Spacu and Gaftineanu, with minor modifications. The method is based on the precipitation of picrate as its silver thiourea complex (VII). The sample solutions were heated to 90°C, and 5 ml of a silver nitrate solution (0.5 M AgNO₃), 0.05 M HNO₃ was added to each. The thiourea complexes were then precipitated by dropwise addition of 5 ml of 1 M thiourea solution. The precipitates formed were allowed to settle and cool to room temperature in the dark, filtered through fine grade porcelain filter crucibles and washed successively twice with a 5:1 mixture of anhydrous ether and ethanol and thrice with anhydrous ether. The precipitates were then dried in vacuo overnight and weighed. Highly consistent values were obtained when the same procedure was carefully followed. When weighed amounts of the purified potassium and thallium(I) picrates were analyzed, the weights of the precipitates were 98.64 ± 0.27% of those calculated from the weight of picrate taken using formula (VII). In order to eliminate this small systematic error in analysis, an empirical gravimetric
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correction factor, based on the above analyses, was applied to the solubility data.

When solutions of picrates in deuterium oxide were analyzed, the reagent solutions were prepared by dissolving the chemicals in heavy water. Protium thiourea was used as it was calculated that it would decrease the deuterium atom fractions in the final solutions by 0.0036 unit only. The procedure was identical to that described above except that only anhydrous ether was used to wash the precipitates. The ratio of the empirical and calculated gravimetric factors was close to that found for the protium system. In order to prevent possible protium-deuterium exchange in the precipitates, care was taken to exclude moisture during the operations.

The results of the solubility measurements are collected in Table 1. The solubility products, expressed in molar units, were converted to their values at zero ionic strength by applying the Debye-Hückel equation

$$-\log \gamma_i = A_i \sqrt{I^{1/2}}/(1 + B_i I^{1/2})$$

the values of the parameters $A$ and $B$ of which were calculated using the recently redetermined values of the dielectric constants of light and heavy water. Empirical values for the ion-size parameters $q_i^{11}$ were employed (for a recent use of these parameters, see Ref. 12).

From the values in the table, it follows that $K_8^*(H_2O)/K_8^*(D_2O)$ is $1.478 \pm 0.031$ for potassium picrate, and $1.714 \pm 0.015$ for thallium(1) picrate. When the standard states are converted to the mole fraction scale, the above values give, respectively, 950 $\pm$ 50 J/mol and 1320 $\pm$ 25 J/mol, for the standard free energy changes of processes (IV) and (V).

Correspondingly, one obtains from the solubility data reported by Kingerley and LaMer $^4$ the value 1280 J/mol for the free energy change of process (VI) at 25°C. A consistent value, 1250 $\pm$ 50 J/mol, has been obtained in this laboratory in connection with a study on a number of thallium salts. Thus, combining the results for (IV), (V), and (VI), a value of 880 $\pm$ 75 J/mol is obtained for the free energy of transfer of potassium chloride (eqn. I) when the last-mentioned value for (VI) is used. The value reported by Kingerley and LaMer gives 910 J/mol with a standard error which is probably of the above magnitude. It is seen that the value 900 $\pm$ 40 J/mol obtained previously from measurements on cells without liquid junctions $^8$ is in excellent agreement with the present value, whereas the value $525 \pm 50$ J/mol, derived from the data for the cell (III) is not.

One may question whether cells of type (III) are applicable at all if the solvents, the lyons of which are exchangeable with the cations present are different on both sides of the membrane. It was clearly pointed out by LaMer and Noonan $^{14}$ three decades ago that the liquid junction complications are always well recognized when one employs, say, alcohol instead of D$_2$O in the other half-cell. Liquid junction difficulties of similar nature, though of smaller influence, have been met in some earlier studies of processes in the H$_2$O – D$_2$O system when the glass electrode has been used.$^{14}$
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