# The Crystal Structure of Rh<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>3</sub> and Ir<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>3</sub>

### LARS-ERIK EDSHAMMAR

Institute of Inorganic and Physical Chemistry, University of Stockholm, S-113 59 Stockholm,

The phases Rh<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>2</sub> and Ir<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>2</sub> have been synthesized and found to be isomorphous with Co.Al. The unit cell dimensions are

Rh<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>9</sub>: 
$$a=6.352$$
,  $b=6.428$ ,  $c=8.721$  Å and  $\beta=94.81^{\circ}$  Ir<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>9</sub>:  $a=6.378$ ,  $b=6.430$ ,  $c=8.732$  Å and  $\beta=94.77^{\circ}$ 

The crystal structure of Rh<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>9</sub> has been refined with least squares techniques to an R value of 0.09 using three-dimensional Weissenberg data. The interatomic distances are compared to those in Co<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>.

The existence of the phase Rh<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>9</sub> was first established by Ferro *et al.* in their phase analysis investigation of the rhodium-aluminium system.<sup>1</sup> However, no crystallographic data were given for this compound. No similar investigation seems to have been reported on the iridium-aluminium system. An X-ray investigation on Rh<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>9</sub> and Ir<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>9</sub>, which will be described in the present paper, shows that the compounds are isostructural and of the Co<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>2</sub> type.

#### EXPERIMENTAL

An alloy of the composition RhAl $_{4.5}$  was prepared from rhodium powder (L. Light & Co., about 99.98 %) and aluminium ribbon (E. Merck AG., at least 99.99 %) by arc-melting in an argon atmosphere with the sample resting on a water-cooled copper base. The alloy was then lump-annealed for four days at 660°C in a sealed evacuated silica tube. The heat-treatment was discontinued by quenching in water. The alloy thus obtained was crystalline and good single crystals suitable for a Weissenberg recording were easily found. No chemical analysis was performed as the weight loss during the

preparation was very small.

The compound Ir, Al, was prepared in an analogous way. The iridium powder used has a purity of 99.98 % according to the supplier (L. Light & Co., about 99.98 %). No useful single crystals could be found in the quenched product.

Powder patterns were obtained in a Guinier-Hägg camera with  $CuK\alpha_1$  radiation ( $\lambda=1.54050$  Å) and with KCl ( $\alpha=6.2919$  Å) as an internal standard.

Single crystal data of Rh<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>9</sub> were collected with a Nonius Weissenberg camera using CuK radiation. The multiple film technique was used and the intensities were estimated visually by comparison with an intensity scale prepared from a suitable reflection of the zero layer.

The computational work was carried out on the CD 3600 computer at Uppsala. Lp correction was performed with a program called DRF (by A. Zalkin, modified by R. Liminga and J.-O. Lundgren, Uppsala) and least squares refinements of positional parameters and temperature factors were performed with LALS (by A. Zalkin, modified by R. Liminga, J.-O. Lundgren and C.-I. Brändén).

## THE PHASE Rh2Al

A small irregular crystal (all dimensions less than 0.02 mm) found in the quenched sample of the composition RhAl<sub>4.5</sub> was used in the single crystal work. The Weissenberg data obtained showed clearly that they were generated by a structure isomorphous with the earlier known Co<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>9</sub>.<sup>2</sup> Approximate cell dimensions were determined from these data. The powder pattern of the sample was indexed and after a least squares refinement the following unit cell dimensions were obtained:

$$a = 6.352 \pm 0.001$$
 Å,  $b = 6.428 \pm 0.001$  Å,  $c = 8.721 \pm 0.001$  Å,  $\beta = 94.81^{\circ} \pm 0.02^{\circ}$ 

The powder pattern of Rh<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>9</sub> is given in Table 1. No change of this pattern was observed for alloys of different compositions around RhAl<sub>4.5</sub>.

Table 1. The powder pattern of Rh<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>9</sub> (Cu $K\alpha_1$ ,  $\lambda = 1.5405$  Å).

$h \ k \ l$	$\sin^2\! heta_{ m obs}$	$\sin^2\! heta_{ m calc}$	$I_{ m obs}$	$I_{ m calc}$
100		0.01481		0.2
011	0.02225	0.02222	$\mathbf{m}$	40.0
110	0.02919	0.02917	${f st}$	96.6
002	0.03147	0.03142	w	23.1
Ī 1 1	0.03515	0.03522	$\mathbf{m}$	76.3
111	0.03886	0.03883	m	74.7
$\bar{1} \ 0 \ 2$	0.04264	0.04262	m	50.0
012	0.04576	0.04578	$\mathbf{m}$	62.1
102	0.04987	0.04985	m	45.0
$\bar{1}$ 1 2	0.05699	0.05697	vw	9.3
020		0.05744		0.1
$2\ 0\ 0$	0.05927	0.05923	$\mathbf{m}$	46.1
112	0.06431	0.06421	vw	7.9
021	0.06529	0.06529	$\mathbf{m}$	59.4
120		$\boldsymbol{0.07225}$	_	1.1
210		0.07359		0.4
$\bar{2} 1 1$	0.07790	0.07783	vw .	5.8
1 2 1		0.07829	-	0.1
121	0.08190	0.08191	· vw	4.1
$\bar{2} 0 2$	0.08335	0.08342	w .	14.7
2 1 1	0.08509	∫0.08506	337	<b>∫</b> 2.7
013	0.0000	0.08506	w	(19.6
$\frac{0}{1} \frac{2}{1} \frac{2}{3}$		0.08886		0.3
<u>1</u> 1 3	0.09443	0.09444		2.2
$\overline{2}$ 1 2	_	0.09777		1.4
202		0.09789		0.5
$\overline{1}$ 2 $\overline{2}$	0.09999	0.10005	w	<b>23.4</b>
113	0.10531	$\boldsymbol{0.10530}$	vw	3.9
122	0.10731	0.10729	$\mathbf{w}$	32.1
$     \begin{array}{ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.11221	0.11225	$\mathbf{m}$	59.2
$\frac{2}{2} 2 0$	_	0.11667		. 0.0
$\bar{2} \; 2 \; 1$	0.12092	0.12090	$\mathbf{m}$	44.5
$0\ 0\ 4$	0.12574	0.12569	vw	6.8

Acta Chem. Scand. 22 (1968) No. 9

Table 2. Final atomic parameters of Rh<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>. Space group:  $P2_1/c$ ; Z=2.

	$\boldsymbol{x}$	$\sigma(x)$	$oldsymbol{y}$	$\sigma(y)$	z	$\sigma(z)$	B Å <sup>2</sup>	$\sigma(B)$
Rh in 4e	0.2630	0.0003	0.62194	0.0005	0.3313	0.0002	0.77	0.06
Al <sub>0</sub> in $2a$		_	-				1.80	0.21
Al, in 4e	0.4031	0.0011	0.9790	0.0020	0.2678	0.0008	1.32	0.14
Al, in 4e	0.0951	0.0011	0.2874	0.0019	0.2277	0.0008	1.21	0.14
Al, in 4e	0.3895	0.0011	0.1956	0.0019	0.9982	0.0008	1.15	0.14
Al in 4e	0.2161	0.0012	0.6099	0.0019	0.0415	0.0008	1.38	0.14

The atomic parameters given by Douglas  $^2$  for  $\mathrm{Co_2Al_9}$  were adopted as starting values in the least squares refinement. This was based on 380 measured reflections, all of them independent, collected with the crystal rotating around the monoclinic axis. The weights were calculated using Hughes' weighting scheme. Atomic scattering factors including the real part of the dispersion correction were taken from the *International Tables for X-Ray Crystallography*.

Table 3. Interatomic distances in Rh<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>2</sub> and their e.s.d.'s in Å.

$\mathbf{Rh} - \mathbf{A}$		0.002	$Al_3-Rh$	2.595	0.012
A	2.521	0.009	${f Rh}$	2.599	0.008
Al		0.008	Al,	2.728	0.014
Al		0.008	$Al_0$	2.776	0.008
Al		0.012	Al	2.847	0.011
A		0.012	$Al_3$	2.879	0.023
		0.012		2.886	0.023
A]			$Al_2$		
A		0.012	$Al_1$	2.906	0.016
Al	<sub>s</sub> 2.599	0.008	Al <sub>2</sub>	2.912	0.011
			Al <sub>4</sub>	2.918	0.017
$Al_0-2I$		$\boldsymbol{0.002}$	Alı	2.961	0.014
<b>2</b> A	$d_2$ 2.742	0.011	$Al_1$	2.982	0.013
<b>2</b> A	1. 2.776	0.008			
<b>2</b> A		0.010	$Al_4-Rh$	2.521	0.009
<b>2</b> A		0.012	* Rh	2.551	0.011
		****	Ala	2.781	0.016
$Al_1-R$	h <b>2.529</b>	0.008	Al <sub>1</sub>	2.812	0.012
R		0.012	$Al_3$	2.847	0.011
Al		0.012		2.867	0.012
				2.918	0.017
A]		0.014	$Al_3$		
A		0.012	$Al_1$	2.941	0.011
A.		0.016	$Al_2$	3.013	0.011
A		0.011	Al₄	3.118	0.016
$\mathbf{A}$		0.014	Al	3.151	0.012
A)		0.013	Alı	3.247	0.015
A	3.247	0.015			
$Al_2-R$		0.008			
$\mathbf{R}$		$\boldsymbol{0.012}$			
$\mathbf{A}$	$_{0}$ 2.742	0.011			
$\mathbf{A}$	2.781	0.016			
A	2.786	0.014			
$\mathbf{A}$		0.010			
A		0.011			
A		0.011			
A		0.011			
A		0.012			
A	4 4.101	0.012			

The following 25 parameters were refined: four scale factors corresponding to each one of the collected layer-lines, 15 positional parameters and 5 isotropic temperature factors. After six cycles of full-matrix refinement, an R value of 0.10 was obtained for the 380 reflections. 19 of the reflections were rejected by the program and if these were not included the R-value was 0.09. The final structural data of  $Rh_2Al_9$  are given in Table 2 and the interatomic distances are given in Table 3.

## THE PHASE IraAl,

A comparison between the powder films taken from Ir<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>9</sub> and Rh<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>9</sub> showed the structures to be isomorphous. The cell dimensions of Ir<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>9</sub> were refined by the least squares method and the following constants were found:

$$a = 6.378 \pm 0.001$$
 Å,  $b = 6.430 \pm 0.001$  Å,  $c = 8.732 \pm 0.002$  Å,  $\beta = 94.77^{\circ} + 0.02^{\circ}$ 

The powder pattern of Ir<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>9</sub> is given in Table 4. No changes of the unit cell dimensions were observed for alloys of different compositions around IrAl<sub>4.5</sub>.

Table 4. The powder pattern of  $Ir_2Al_2$  (Cu $K\alpha_1$ ,  $\lambda=1.5405$  Å).

h k l	$\sin^2\! heta_{ m obs}$	$\sin^2\! heta_{ m calc}$	$I_{ m obs}$
100	0.01472	0.01469	vvw
011	0.02214	0.02218	m
110	0.02906	0.02904	vst
002	0.03138	0.03134	m
$\bar{1}$ 1 1	0.03503	0.03509	${f st}$
111	0.03866	0.03866	${f st}$
1 0 2	0.04244	0.04246	${f st}$
0 1 2	0.04570	0.04569	st
102	0.04960	0.04960	$\mathbf{st}$
ī 1 2	0.05687	0.05681	w
020	_	0.05740	_
$2 \ 0 \ 0$	0.05874	0.05874	${f st}$
116	0.06406	0.06394	vw
0 2 1	0.06529	0.06523	${f st}$
120		0.07209	_
210		0.07309	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.07736	0.07736	vw
$\bar{1}$ 2 1	0.07813	0.07814	vvw
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.08173	0.08171	w
$f {f ar{2}}$ 0 2	0.08301	0.08295	$\mathbf{w}$
211	_	0.08449	-
013	0.08492	0.08487	$\mathbf{m}$
022		0.08874	_
$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 2 & 2 \\ \overline{1} & 1 & 3 \end{array}$		0.09420	
202		0.09722	
$\overline{2}$ 1 2	0.09741	0.09730	vvw
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.09980	0.09986	w
113	_	0.10490	_
122	0.10697	0.10700	w
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.11161	0.11157	$^{\mathrm{m}+}$
$\bar{2} \ 2 \ 0$	_	0.11614	<u> </u>
$\overline{2}$ 2 1	0.12035	0.12041	$\mathbf{m}+$
$0\ 0\ 4$	<del></del>	0.12536	<b>-</b>

Acta Chem. Scand. 22 (1968) No. 9

#### DISCUSSION

The structure of Co<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>9</sub> has been described by Schubert <sup>3</sup> as a stacking of alternating quadratic layers of Al and CuAl<sub>2</sub>.

The structure of Rh<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>2</sub> is strictly isomorphous with Co<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>. The differences found between the two structures depend on the fact that the rhodium radius is about 0.1 Å larger than the cobalt radius. Thus, the Rh-Al distances in  $Rh_2Al_9$  range from 2.45 Å to 2.60 Å and have an average value of 2.54 Å, whilst the Co-Al distances in Co<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>3</sub> lie within the range 2.37-2.50 Å, with an average of 2.47 Å. This comparison is in concordance with a similar one made for the Rh-Al and Co-Al bonds in the two isostructural compounds Rh<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>5</sub> and Co<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>5</sub>.4

The interaluminium distances in Rh<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>2</sub> range from values that are the same up to values 0.12 Å larger than those of the equivalent distances in Co<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>. This is also in agreement with comparisons between Rh<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>5</sub> and

Co<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>5</sub>.

Further studies on the Rh—Al and the Ir—Al systems are in progress.

Acknowledgements. The author wishes to thank Professor Arne Magnéli for his interest in this work and for his valuable comments on the manuscript.

The investigation has been made possible through the support of the Swedish Natural Science Research Council and a scholarship from the Th. Nordströms testaments fond of the Royal Academy of Science.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Ferro, R., Rambaldi, G. and Capelli, R. Atti Accad. Nazl. Lincei, Rend. Classe Sci. Fis. Mat. Nat. 36 (1954) 491. 2. Douglas, A. M. B. Acta Cryst. 3 (1950) 19.
- 3. Schubert, K. Kristallstrukturen zweikomponentiger Phasen, Berlin/Göttingen/Heidelberg 1964, p. 302.
- 4. Edshammar, L.-E. Acta Chem. Scand. 21 (1967) 647.

Received April 24, 1968.