# Cyanoacetylene: Mean Amplitudes of Vibration and Bastiansen-Morino Shrinkage Effects

S. J. CYVIN and P. KLÆBOE

Institutt for teoretisk kjemi, Norges tekniske høgskole, Trondheim, Norway and Kjemisk Institutt, Universitetet i Oslo, Blindern, Oslo 3, Norway

A harmonic force field has been derived for cyanoacetylene. The mixed character of some of the normal modes is discussed. In particular, the mode assigned to C=N stretching and with the characteristic frequency of 2271 cm<sup>-1</sup> has been found to possess considerable contributions from C=C and CC stretchings. Calculated mean amplitudes of vibration for all the ten different types of distances are reported, along with the six independent shrinkage effects. These results are in good agreement with previous calculations for hydrogen cyanide, acetylene and cyanogen.

The presently reported computations on cyanoacetylene from spectroscopic data are believed to be of special interest for studies in electron-diffraction. They are also of particular interest in connection with recently undertaken studies on the infrared spectra of halogen substituted cyanoacetylenes.

## FORCE FIELD

The computations are based on a harmonic valence force field as given by Turrell et al.¹ First the normal-coordinate matrix (L) was calculated, along with the vibrational frequencies, which are given in Table 1 (as "Calc."). In the final calculations the observed frequencies ¹,² were used without changing the L matrix. By this procedure the force constants were slightly changed, and small interaction constants introduced. In Table 2 this modified force field is given, along with the original valence force constants¹ included in parentheses.

### CLASSIFICATION OF NORMAL MODES

In Table 3 we give the terms  $X_{ik} = F_{ii} L_{ik}^2/\lambda_k$  rather than the L-matrix elements themselves. These terms may be interpreted as the contributions from the various internal coordinates (i) to the potential energy for a normal

Acta Chem. Scand. 19 (1965) No. 3

Table 1. Vibrational frequencies of HCCCN (cm<sup>-1</sup>).

	Calc.	Calc. Obs.  3312 3328 1,2 2008 2078 1,2 877 877 2 2342 2271 1,2	Description	
ν <sub>1</sub> ν <sub>3</sub> ν <sub>4</sub> ν <sub>2</sub>	2008 877		$\begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{CH} \\ \mathrm{C} = \mathrm{C} \\ \mathrm{CC} \\ \mathrm{C} = \mathrm{N} \end{pmatrix}$	$\mathcal{\Sigma}^+$
$\begin{matrix}v_5\\v_7\\v_6\end{matrix}$	668 238 491	$\begin{array}{c} 663 \ ^{1,2} \\ 230 \ ^{1,2} \\ 500 \ ^{2} \end{array}$	HCC CCC CCN	П

Table 2. F-matrix elements (mdyne/Å).

	$\Delta r_{12}({ m CH})$	<i>∆r</i> <sub>23</sub> (C≡C)	$\Delta r_{34}({ m CC})$	$\Delta r_{45}({ m C}{ ightharpoons}{ m N})$
$rac{arDelta r_{12}}{arDelta r_{23}}$	5.92 (5.86)* 0.013	15.2 (14.9)		
∆r <sub>34</sub> ∆r <sub>45</sub>	$0.029 \\ -0.114$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.166 \\ -0.825 \end{array}$	7.70 (7.83 0.253	15.8 (15.7)
	$\varrho_1 \varDelta \varphi_{123} (CCH)$	$\theta_2 \Delta \varphi_{23}$	(CCC)	$\varrho_3 \varDelta \varphi_{345}(\text{CCN})^{**}$
$a_{1} \varDelta arphi_{123} \ a_{2} \varDelta arphi_{234} \ a_{3} \varDelta arphi_{345}$	$0.149 (0.15) \\ 0.0010 \\ -0.0041$		(0.209)	0.208 (0.210)

<sup>\*</sup> In parentheses the valence force field from Turrell *et al.*\begin{align\*} \*\* \rho\_1 = (r\_{12}r\_{23})\frac{1}{2}, \rho\_2 = (r\_{23}r\_{34})\frac{1}{2}, \rho\_3 = (r\_{34}r\_{45})\frac{1}{2}. \end{align\*}

Table 3. Potential energy distribution for the normal vibrations (Q).

$\Sigma^+$	$Q_1$	$Q_2$	$Q_3$	$Q_4$	
CH C≡C CC	<b>0.96</b> 0.04 0.00	0.02 <b>0.30</b> <b>0.32</b>	0.02 <b>0.51</b> 0.00	0.00 0.15 <b>0.68</b>	
C≣N 	$Q_t$	0.43 , Q	<b>0.42</b>	$Q_{7}$	
CCH CCC CCN	<b>0.</b> ' 0 0	18 0.2	6	0.03 0.56 0.45	

Table 4. Mean amplitudes of vibration, u, and Bastiansen-Morino shrinkage effects,  $\delta$  (Å units).

	u	δ	
Atom pair	(298°K)*	T = 0	298°K
12 (CH)	0.074		
23 (C≡C)	0.036		
34 (CC)	0.042		
45 (C <u>≡</u> N)	0.035		
13 (CH short)	0.079	0.018	0.020
24 (CC)	0.046	0.007	0.010
35 (CN short)	0.046	0.007	0.010
14 (CH long)	0.084	0.031	0.039
25 (CN long)	0.050	0.016	0.025
15 (HN)	0.086	0.042	0.059

<sup>\*</sup> Values at absolute zero are almost the same.

Table 5. Mean amplitudes of vibration, u, and shrinkage effects,  $\delta$ , in cyanoacetylene and related molecules at 298°K (Å units).

	HC≡N <sup>6</sup>	HC≡CH <sup>7</sup>	N≡CC≡N 8	HC≡CC≡N
$u(\mathrm{CH})$	0.0731	0.0783		0.074
$u(C \equiv C)$		0.0358		0.036
u(CC)			0.0419	0.042
$u(\mathrm{C}{\Longrightarrow}\mathbf{N})$	0.0342		0.0349	0.035
$u(\mathrm{CH})$		0.0730		0.079 (short)
$u(\mathrm{CN})$			0.0458	0.046 (short)
$\delta(\mathrm{CH})$		0.019		0.020  (short)
$\delta(\mathrm{CN})$			0.011	0.010 (short)

vibration  $(Q_k)$ . Morino and Kuchitsu <sup>3</sup> have shown the  $X_{ik}$  terms to be suitable for classification of the normal vibrations. Following their procedure we have confirmed the assignment of frequencies by Turrell et al. (cf. Table 1), as far as the highest contribution from each internal coordinate is considered. But it is also interesting to notice that some of the normal vibrations have considerably mixed character. In particular, the normal mode assigned to  $C \equiv N$  stretching ( $\nu_2 = 2271 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) has considerable character of  $C \equiv C$  and  $C \equiv N$  rather than a pure  $C \equiv C$  stretching vibration. Finally, the bending mode of  $Q_7$  should be assigned to a mixture of CCC and CCN bendings. It should be noted, however, that these conclusions have been drawn from Table 3, where the terms depend on the particular force field here adopted.

#### MEAN AMPLITUDES OF VIBRATION AND SHRINKAGE EFFECTS

The mean amplitudes of vibration 4 (u) and Bastiansen-Morino shrinkage effects  $^{5}$  ( $\delta$ ) are of great interest in electron-diffraction investigations of molecular structures. If some of these quantities could be determined from electron-diffraction with sufficiently high precision, they might be used for improved determination of the force field. Here we give the calculated values of u and  $\delta$  (Table 4) based on the presently adopted force constants (see Table 2). The shrinkage effects of Table 4 are all based on the bonded distances,

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{(C...H short)} & -\delta_{13} = r_{13}{}^{\sharp} - (r_{12}{}^{\sharp} + r_{23}{}^{\sharp}) \\ \text{(C...C)} & -\delta_{24} = r_{24}{}^{\sharp} - (r_{23}{}^{\sharp} + r_{34}{}^{\sharp}) \\ \text{(C...N short)} & -\delta_{35} = r_{35}{}^{\sharp} - (r_{34}{}^{\sharp} + r_{45}{}^{\sharp}) \\ \text{(C...H long)} & -\delta_{14} = r_{14}{}^{\sharp} - (r_{12}{}^{\sharp} + r_{23}{}^{\sharp} + r_{34}{}^{\sharp}) \\ \text{(C...N long)} & -\delta_{25} = r_{25}{}^{\sharp} - (r_{22}{}^{\sharp} + r_{34}{}^{\sharp} + r_{45}{}^{\sharp}) \\ \text{(H...N)} & -\delta_{15} = r_{15}{}^{\sharp} - (r_{12}{}^{\sharp} + r_{23}{}^{\sharp} + r_{34}{}^{\sharp} + r_{45}{}^{\sharp}) \end{array}$$

Here  $r_{ii}^{\ g}$  denotes the average internuclear distance between the atoms i and j. In the last table (Table 5) the values of u and  $\delta$  are compared with corresponding ones in related molecules. There is observed good correspondence which supports the applied force field.

#### REFERENCES

- Turrell, G. C., Jones, W. D. and Maki, A. J. Chem. Phys. 26 (1957) 1544.
   Job, V. A. and King, G. W. Can. J. Chem. 41 (1963) 3132.
   Morino, Y. and Kuchitsu, K. J. Chem. Phys. 20 (1952) 1809.

- Cyvin, S. J. Kgl. Norske Videnskab. Selskabs, Skrifter 1959 No. 2.
   Cyvin, S. J. Tidsskr. Kjemi Bergvesen Met. 21 (1961) 236; 22 (1962) 44, 73.
- 6. Meisingseth, E. and Cyvin, S. J. Acta Chem. Scand. 16 (1962) 1321.
  7. Meisingseth, E. and Cyvin, S. J. Acta Chem. Scand. 15 (1961) 2021.
  8. Cyvin, S. J. and Meisingseth, E. Acta Chem. Scand. 15 (1961) 1289.

Received December 5, 1964.