- Eberson, L. Acta Chem. Scand. 17 (1963) 2004.
- Wilson, C. L. and Lippincott, W. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 78 (1956) 4291.
- Linstead, R. P., Shepherd, B. R. and Weedon, B. C. L. J. Chem. Soc. 1952 3624.
- Lund, H. Acta Chem. Scand. 11 (1957) 1323.
- Pysh, E. S. and Yang, N. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 85 (1963) 2124.
- Dickinson, T. and Wynne-Jones, W. F. K. Trans. Faraday Soc. 58 (1962) 382, 388, 400.
- Bonner, W. A. and Mango, F. D. J. Org. Chem. 29 (1964) 1367.
- For a review article, see Tomilov, A. P. Russian Chem. Rev. 30 (1961) 639.
- Scott, A. I., Dodson, P. A., McCapra, F. and Meyers, M. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 85 (1963) 3702.
- Bonner, W. A. and Mango, F. D. J. Org. Chem. 29 (1964) 430.
- Ioune, T., Koyama, K., Matsouka, T., Matsouka, K. and Tsutsumi, S. Tetrahedron Letters 1963 1409.
- Smith, W. B. and Gilde, H. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 81 (1959) 5325; 83 (1961) 1355.
- Hey, D. H., Leonard, J. A. and Rees,
 C. W. J. Chem. Soc. 1963 5963.

Received August 10, 1964.

On the Fractionation of Polymers by Membrane-Diffusion

HANS VINK

Institute of Physical Chemistry, University of Uppsala, Sweden

In a recent article by Almin ¹ the concentration change in a membrane-diffusion unit was considered and an exact solution for special boundary conditions was derived. In addition an approximate solution was presented and its use in connection with multi-stage fractionation was indicated. In the present note some complementary remarks on the subject are made. Especially, a comparison between Almin's formula and an earlier approximate for-

mula by the author 2 is made, and it is shown that for small values of the exponent 2bDl/v (i.e. for most cases of practical interest) the two formulae are nearly equal.

Using Almin's symbols and writing $2bDl/v = \alpha$ eqns. (14) and (15) in Ref.² give for small α

$$\frac{c(t)}{c(0)} = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}y \frac{\alpha\gamma}{1+\gamma-(\alpha\gamma/4)}\right) (1)$$

On the other hand, the variable r in Ref. 1 is defined by

$$\frac{1}{2}(1 + e^{-\alpha})\gamma e^{\gamma} = re^{r}$$
which gives for small α

$$r = \gamma - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\alpha \gamma}{1 + \gamma} \tag{3}$$

With (3) eqn. (8) in Ref.¹ becomes

$$\frac{c(t)}{c_1(0)} = \frac{1}{1 + \gamma - \frac{1}{2} \left[\alpha \gamma / (1 + \gamma)\right]} \times \left(\exp - \frac{1}{2} y \frac{\alpha \gamma}{1 + \gamma}\right)$$
(4)

Comparing (1) with (4) we must keep in mind that in the two cases different boundary conditions are used. Eqn. (1) is a steady state solution with c(0) being the steady state concentration at t=0. In Almin's paper the exact formula (eqn. (7) in Ref.¹) refers to boundary conditions with solution of concentration $c_1(0)$ in the container A and pure solvent in the diffusion cell. The same boundary conditions are retained in the approximate formula (4), but the approximation requires that t is large, i.e. that steady state conditions are established. Thus, the initial (extrapolated) steady state concentration is according to (4)

$$c(0) = \frac{c_1(0)}{1 + \gamma - \frac{1}{2}[\alpha \gamma/(1 + \gamma)]}$$
 (5)

With (5) in mind we see that for small α (1) and (4) are essentially the same. However, in multi-stage experiments (eqns. (16)—(23), Ref.²) the steady state concentration c(0) has to be used in the interconnected fractionation units. Therefore, in this connection it is essential that a formula of the form of (1) is used.

Almin, K. E. Arkiv Kemi 22 (1964) 207.
 Vink, H. Arkiv Kemi 19 (1962) 531.

Received August 18, 1964.