The Determination of Small Proportions of Linolenic Acid in Vegetable Oils by Alkaline Isomerization

JENS G. NØRBY

Biokemisk Institut, Århus Universitet, Denmark

Brice and Swain 1 have devised a method for the accurate determination of small proportions of polyenoic fatty acids in vegetable oils based on the ultraviolet spectra of the oils before and after alkaline isomerization. The method, which includes corrections for background absorption, may be used on a variety of fatty acid mixtures containing conjugated and unconjugated dienoic and trienoic acids, but requires about 200 mg fatty acids for each analysis. The microisomerization method of Herb and Riemenschneider 2 has made it possible to use as little as 1-10 mg fatty acids. The present paper concerns the determination of small proportions of trienoic acid by the isomerization method of Herb and Riemenschneider and the calculation method of Brice and Swain 1.

Reagents and methods are described by Nørby ³. Symbols: k_{262} , k_{263} , and k_{274} are observed specific extinction coefficients ($E_{1cm}^{1g/l}$) before isomerization, the subscripts denoting the wave lengths. k'_{262} , k'_{263} , and k'_{274} are the same coefficients after isomerization. k_3 is the specific extinction coefficient before isomerization at 268 m μ (maximum for triene conjugation) after correction for bakground absorption according to eqn. II of Brice and Swain ¹. k'_3 is the

isomerization. In this case $k'_{268} - k_{268}$ is the increase due to triene conjugation.

If, however, isomerization increases the background absorption, $k'_{268} - k_{168}$ will be higher than k'_3 , and eqn. I can not be used. Brice and Swain have shown that if the increased background absorption is linear in the region 262-274 m μ , eqn. II will give the correct value for k'_3 (k_3 is zero for conjugated material is present before isomerization). The correction factor 4.1 (= F_3) was derived from experiments on isomerized pure linolenic acid as:

$$F_3 = 4.1 = \frac{k'_{268}}{k'_{268} - \frac{1}{2}(k'_{274} + k'_{262})}$$
(III)

From the paper of Brice and Swain ¹ it is seen that F_3 is the total height of the triene peak at 268 m μ divided by the height of the peak taken with a straight line between the minima at 262 and 274 as a base line. For a given sample the denominator will not change if a linear background absorption is added and hence II will give the correct k'_3 in such cases.

When k_3 is known, % triene is $1.105 \cdot k_3$ (Herb and Riemenschneider 2).

Results. Determination of correction factor F_3 for the method of Herb and Riemenschneider. Different amounts of two samples of linolenic acid (Hormel Foundation) and soybean oil (containing 51.8 % diene and 7.67 % triene) were isomerized and k'_{253} , k'_{263} , and k'_{274} determined. At the same time k_{262} , k_{263} , and k_{274} were determined on unisomerized samples. As all samples analyzed showed some absorption before isomerization, the following expression, which is a modification of that of Brice and Swain 1 (III), was used for the calculation of F_3 :

$$F_3 = \frac{k'_{268} - k_{268}}{k'_{268} - k_{268} - \frac{1}{2}[(k'_{274} - k_{274}) + (k'_{262} - k_{262})]}$$
(IV)

same coefficient after isomerization.

Calculation of k_3 . Two equations can be used for the calculation of k_3 :

$$k'_{3} = k'_{268} - k_{268} \tag{I}$$

$$k'_{3} = 4.1 \ [k'_{268} - \frac{1}{2}(k'_{274} + k'_{262})] - k_{3} (II)$$

As k_3 is the specific extinction coefficient of the sample after isomerization due to triene conjugation produced by the isomerization, eqn. I is used when the background absorption is not changed during In IV k'-k is the increase in specific extinction coefficient due to isomerization of pure linolenic acid.

The value 4.12 found for F_3 (Table 1) agrees well with 4.1 found by Brice and Swain 1 for their method. F_3 did not vary with sample size in the range indicated in Table 1.

Determination of small amounts of triene. In the first experiment (Table 2) the specific extinction coefficients before and after isomerization were determined for five samples of linoleic acid (Hormel Foundation)

Table 1. Determination of correction factor F_3 for the method of Herb and Riemenschneider.

Sample		Sample weight mg	Number of determinations	F_{8}	SD
Linolenie acid	I	2.3 - 3.5	9	4.124	0.012
,, ,,	II	0.08 - 12.2	$\boldsymbol{22}$	4.112	0.013
Soybean oil		1.8 - 40	19	4.123	0.018

Table 2. Determination of small amounts of triene calculated according to equation II and I.

			Sample weight		% tr	iene	
	Samı	ole	mg	% diene	eqn. IÍ	eqn. I	F ₈
Linoleic	acid c	oncentrate	1.16	99.2	1.18	2.19	7.47
,,	,,	,,	1.86	99.4	1.09	1.85	6.82
,,	,,	,,	2.61	99.2	1.07	1.21	4.68
,,	,,	,,	4.39	97.2	1.20	1.44	4.91
,,	,,	"	9.04	98.1	1.20	1.48	5.06
Sunflow	er seed	oil	4.5	58.2	0.13	0.27	8.36
"	,,	,,	4.7	58.9	0.11	0.27	8.00
"	,,	,,	5.6	60.0	0.09	0.37	13.60
,,	,,	,,	9.4	59.2	0.10	0.27	11.04
,,,	,,	,,	17.8	56.5	0.10	0.25	10.81

Table 3. Determination of triene in mixtures of linoleic or linolenic acid and soybean oil after calculation of k_3 by eqn. I or II (see text).

	Sample weight	Calculated composition %		% triene	% triene found		Recovery %	
Sample	mg	% diene	% triene	eqn. II	eqn. I	eqn. II	eqn. I	F ^{sample}
Soybeen oil	4.3	88.5	2.59	2.55	3.03	98.5	117.0	4.94
+ linoleic	3.0	82.4	3.44	3.40	3.91	98.8	113.7	4.78
acid	2.6	77.1	4.14	4.17	4.49	100.7	108.5	4.46
Soybean oil	8.9	47.5	15.2	15.6	15.3	102.6	100.7	4.05
+ linolenic	7.6	39.7	28.9	28.6	29.0	99.6	100.3	4.15
acid	5.5	24.5	55.6	54.6	55.6	98.2	100.0	4.19

Table 4. k'_{233} k'_{268} and F_{3}^{sample} for all experiments mentioned in the present paper.

Sample	k′ ₂₃₃	k′268	k'_{233}/k'_{268}	F ^{sample}
Sunflower seed oil	ca. 54	0.27 - 0.39	142-199	5.82 - 13.6
Linoleic acid	ca. 90	1.4 - 1.8	50 - 64	4.7 - 11.3
Soybean oil $+$				
linoleic acid	72 - 82	2.8 - 4.2	17 - 29	4.4 - 4.9
Soybean oil	51	7	7.3	4.12
Soybean oil +				
linolenic acid	49 - 52	14 - 63	0.8 - 3.7	4.18
Linolenic acid	46	92	0.5	4.11

and sunflower seed oil. The content of triene was determined after calculation of k_3 by eqn. I or eqn. II and F_3^{sample} was calculated by eqn. IV. It is seen that F_3^{sample} is consistently higher than 4.12 indicating that a background absorption has developed during isomerization. In accordance with this, calculation of k_3 by eqn. I gives higher values than by eqn. II.

In order to determine whether the use of eqn. II, when F_3^{sample} is higher than 4.12, will give the correct value for % triene, a number of recovery experiments were carried out. Known mixtures of soybean oil and linoleic or linolenic acid were isomerized and % triene determined after calculation of k'_3 by eqn. I or II. F_3^{sample} was calculated by eqn. IV. The results in Table 3 confirm, that when F_3^{sample} is higher than 4.12, as seen in the isomerized mixtures of soybean oil and linoleic acid, calculation of k'_3 by eqn. II gives the correct value for % triene.

In conclusion it can be said that the value of F^{sample} will indicate which of the eqns. I or $\overset{\circ}{\text{II}}$ will give the correct value for k'_3 and thereby the correct value for the triene content of the sample. If F^{sample}_3 is higher than 4.12 eqn. II should be used, in all other cases eqn. I will give the correct k'_3 .

In an attempt to standardize the spectrophotometric determination of polyenoic fatty acids and to simplify the calculations involved, Brice et al. have recommended the use of eqn. II only when k'_{268} is lower than 1. In Table 4 the values for k'_{233} k'_{268} , k'_{233}/k'_{268} and F^{sample} found in the experiments described in this paper are collected. It is clearly seen that in several experiments, in which k'_{268} is greater than 1, eqn. II must be used since F^{sample} is higher than 4.12. From Table 4 it furthermore appears that if the ratio k'_{233}/k'_{268} is higher than 7, F_3^{sample} is higher than 4.12. Inspection of spectral curves for isomerized samples of this type clearly shows that the diene peak, with maximum at 233 $m\mu$, contributes significantly to the absorption in the region 262-274 mm. As this "tail absorption" is approximately linear, eqn. II will give the true k_3 for these samples.

- Brice, B. A. and Swain, M. L. J. Opt. Soc. Am. 35 (1945) 532.
- Herb, S. F. and Riemenschneider, R. W. Anal. Chem. 25 (1953) 953.
- Nørby, J. G. Acta Chem. Scand. To be published.
- Brice, B. A., Swain, M. L., Herb, S. F., Nichols, P. L. and Riemenschneider, R. W. J. Am. Oil. Chemists' Soc. 29 (1952) 279.

Received November 1, 1960.

Studies on Rennin

IV. Chromatographic Fractionation of Rennin

BENT FOLTMANN

Carlsberg Laboratory, Copenhagen, Denmark

In previous papers of this series rennin was considered a rather homogeneous protein. Only one peak was observed on moving boundary electrophoresis at pH 5.8, and in a solubility test the enzyme behaved nearly as a single-component system (Foltmann 1,2).

On the other hand, Ernstrom s reported that although rennin only showed one peak on electrophoresis in buffers of ionic strength 0.1—0.2, it would split up in 3—4 peaks on moving boundary electrophoresis in buffers of ionic strength 0.033 and pH 6.8. In a personal communication to the author, Ernstrom added that on zone-electrophoresis in buffers of ionic strength 0.033 he had observed a slight displacement of enzymatic activity as compared with optical density; however, no separation into discrete peaks was achieved by such zone-electrophoresis.

Jirgensons et al. have reported chromatography of a commercial crystalline rennin on diethylaminoethylcellulose. They found that the rennin could be fractionated into one inactive and two active fractions. However, these experiments were carried out at pH 6.7, which is somewhat above the stability range of the enzyme (Foltmann 1).

The present communication deals with fractionation at a more appropriate pH of rennin by chromatography on diethylaminoethylcellulose (DEAE-cellulose) as described by Peterson and Sober 5. The ion-exchange cellulose used was a commercial