Borsook et al.\textsuperscript{4} reported that they were unable to separate \(\alpha\)-aminoacidic acid from glutamic acid by paper chromatography and had to resort to the more cumbersome chromatography on starch when investigating the natural occurrence of this compound.

Due to the possible practical importance to workers in this field we wish to report an observation made in connection with work on lysine requiring mutants of \textit{Ophiostoma}\textsuperscript{5}, some of which has been found to grow with \(\alpha\)-aminoacidic acid\textsuperscript{7}. We have found that this amino acid can be clearly separated from glutamic acid by chromatography on paper with an acid medium similar to that described by Edman\textsuperscript{8}.

On Munktells filter paper no. 0B\textsuperscript{9} with a medium consisting of 45 % \(n\)-butyric, 45 % isovaleric and 10 % water by volume, a mixture of aspartic, glutamic and \(\alpha\)-amino adipic acid was separated after 12 hours in well developed spots with \(R^F\) values of respectively 0.18, 0.26 and 0.33, the descending solvent front having moved 41 cm.

In more complex amino acid mixtures two dimensional developments with this medium in combination with phenol, butanol or amyl alcohol-pyridine make identification possible.
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The Crystal Structure of Tetrachlorocyclohexane of m.p. 174\textdegree{} C

\textbf{O. Hassel and E. Wang Lund}

\textit{Universitetets Kjemiske Institutt, Blindern—Oslo, Norway}

The structure formula of the compound \(\text{C}_7\text{H}_8\text{Cl}_4\) obtained by direct chlorination of cyclohexane\textsuperscript{1} and melting at 174\textdegree{} C has not been determined. On treating cyclohexane or chlorocyclohexane with chlorine at room temperature in artificial light and separating the deposited crystals from the liquid, this substance is easily obtained. We also prepared it from 1,2-dichlorocyclohexane using the same procedure, a fact which seems to indicate that at least two of the chlorine atoms occupy 1,2-positions. An electron diffraction investigation of the vapour carried out in our laboratory some time ago led to the assumption that the molecule has the configuration 1 \(\varepsilon\), 2 \(\varepsilon\), 4 \(\kappa\), 5 \(\kappa\). A measurement of the dipole moment\textsuperscript{2} supported this view, indicating that the tetrachloride has a configuration corresponding to that of the tetrabromocyclohexane of m.p. 185\textdegree{} earlier investigated\textsuperscript{3}.

In order to check the result of the electron diffraction work a complete X-ray crystallographic analysis has been carried out, and an extract of the results will be given here. We intend to bring an account of both the electron diffraction investigation and the crystal analysis with full details shortly in order to demonstrate the close agreement between the results obtained in these two independent investigations.

The crystals of tetrachlorocyclohexane belong to the orthorhombic sphenoidal class. Laue and Weissenberg photographs show that the c-axis has pseudo-tetragonal symmetry. The lattice constants are:

\[a = 7.60 \text{ Å}, \quad b = 7.54 \text{ Å}, \quad c = 7.72 \text{ Å}\]
The unit cell contains two molecules. From the fact that the space group is \(D_3^2-P2_12_12\) it follows that the molecules are crystallographically equivalent and possess a twofold axis of symmetry running parallel to the c-axis. This axis must of course bisect the distance between say the carbon atoms 1 and 2 and the carbon atoms 4 and 5.

Intensity data obtained from Weissenberg photographs by means of the multiple film method served as a basis for the two-dimensional Fourier analyses, of which one gave the projection of the electron density in the (001)-plane, a second in the (010) plane. In order to find the correct sign of the structure factors the \(1e,2e,4\alpha,5\alpha\) structure was assumed to be correct. The Fourier maps, showing well defined maxima of the expected heights, fully justified this procedure. The parameters of the chlorine atoms could be determined with a considerable degree of accuracy:

\[
\begin{align*}
x_1 &= 0.282, \quad y_1 = -0.008, \\
z_1 &= 0.437, \quad x_2 = 0.015, \\
y_2 &= -0.215, \quad z_2 = -0.105.
\end{align*}
\]

The peaks due to the carbon atoms are less marked and partly overlapped by those of the chlorine atoms, but approximate parameters may still be given:

\[
\begin{align*}
x_3 &= 0.062, \quad y_3 = -0.081, \quad z_3 = 0.408, \\
x_4 &= 0.062, \quad y_4 = -0.081, \quad z_4 = 0.082, \\
x_5 &= 0.028, \quad y_5 = -0.194, \quad z_5 = 0.245.
\end{align*}
\]

Fig. 1 gives a projection of the structure in the (010)-plane (upper half) and in the (001)-plane (lower half). The form of the molecule is shown in Fig. 2. It is easily seen that the configuration is really \(1e, 2e, 4\alpha, 5\alpha\), but that the valency angles are not strictly tetrahedral. This deviation from an ideal structure is most strikingly demonstrated by the fact that the