Short Communications

The Formulae of Sodium and Ammonium Paramolybdate

INGVAR LINDQVIST

Institute of Chemistry, University of Uppsala, Uppsala, Sweden

In a recent critical review on the polymolybdates, Carpéni¹ reports two possible mole ratios M₂O:MoO₃ for the paramolybdates without deciding in favour of either. In the following these mole ratios are given the symbols (5:12) and (3:7). In connection with an X-ray investigation of the polymolybdates, polytungstates and polyvanadates, which has been started in this institute, it was found necessary to take up the problem of the mole ratio of the paramolybdates.

Our efforts were confined to the sodium and ammonium paramolybdates, which possess very different amounts of water of crystallization. These compounds were discovered in 1853 by Zenker² and in 1848 by Svanberg and Struve 3 and were by them given the mole ratios (4:9) and (2:5). Later the (3:7) formulae 3Na₂O. $7\text{MoO}_3 \cdot 22\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and $3(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{O} \cdot 7\text{MoO}_3 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ were ascribed to these salts by Delafontaine 4,5 and Ullik 6. In 1916, however, Rosenheim 7 carried out analyses leading to the (5:12) formulae 5Na₂O·12MoO₃· 38H₂O and 5(NH₄)₂O·12MoO₃·7H₂O, which were then widely accepted (cf. Gmelin 8). In a review, Jander 9 supported these later formulae, obviously because they were required by his theory of the ion aggregation in molybdate solutions. No analyses were carried out by Jander. In recent years Byé 10 and Guiter 11,12, have again reported (3:7) formulae, but none of them has tried to make a complete analysis with modern and well established methods. As a consequence of these diverging results Carpéni 1 has not decided in favour of either formula. He does not, however, mention the important X-ray investigation on the ammonium paramolybdate by Sturdivant 13, which seems to us quite deciding in this question. As the corresponding paratungstates are generally given (5:12) formulae, one can easily understand the actual confusion in this field. Under these circumstances we have found a thorough analysis desirable.

Sodium paramolybdate.* This compound was formed by isothermal evaporation at 20° from a solution of 9.68 g Na₂MoO₄. 2H₂O in 21.5 ml 1.98—C HCl and 20 ml H₀O. We obtained well defined crystals which were shown to be free from chloride ions. These crystals were quickly dried between filter papers, weighed, and heated in a platinum crucible in order to determine the water content. The residue was weighed and then dissolved in water. Part of the solution was poured through a cadmium reducer and molybdenum was determined 14 by titration with KMnO₄. Another part was drawn through a column of »Amberlite IR 100 Ion Exchanger», which had previously been saturated with ammonium ions 15. The solution containing the molybdate ions was discarded. The ion exchanger was then washed with 5 % HCl until we did not get any sodium flame

^{*} In the analytical work, I was assisted by Mr Olof Karlsson, whom I here wish to thank for his laborious work.

from the washing solution. In this solution, sodium was determined as $(UO_2)_3$ NaMg(CH_3CO_2)₉·8 H_2O by a method given by Nydahl ¹⁶**. This method was first established by analyses of solutions containing known amounts of sodium and molybdenum. The results are all given in Table 1.

Table 1. Sodium paramolybdate.

1. Check on the method.

2. Analyses.

· ·	Weight percentages		
	Na_2O	MoO_8	H_2O
(5:12) calc.	11.39	63.47	25.14
(3: 7) »	11.69	63.38	24.92
Analysis 1	11.77	63.40	24.84
) 2	11.78	63.23	25.01

Ammonium paramolybdate. This compound was recrystallized from solutions of commercial ammonium molybdate. Molybdenum was reduced as above and titrated with KMnO₄. NH₃ was determined by Kjeldahl-distillation into 0.1—C HCl and back titration with 0.1—C NaOH with methyl red as indicator. From the sum of NH₃ and H₂O lost by heating, H₂O could then be calculated. The results are given in Table 2.

Results. The (5:12) formulae can at once be excluded, but the compositions are in agreement with the (3:7) formulae. We hope that our X-ray investigations will make it possible for us to decide that the atomic arrangements give the formulae $3\text{Na}_2\text{O·7MoO}_3\cdot22\text{H}_2\text{O}\,\text{and}\,3(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{O·7MoO}_3\cdot$

Table 2. Ammonium paramolybdate.

Weight percentages

	$(NH_4)_2O$	MoO_3	H_2O
(5:12) calc.	12.32	81.72	5.96
(3:7) *	12.64	81.52	5.84
Analysis 1	12.55	81.43	5.89
, 2	12.55	81.40	5.80

 $4\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O}$. Of course we are also going to investigate the formulae of paratungstates. In any case the formulae $5\mathrm{Na}_2\mathrm{O}\cdot12\mathrm{MoO}_3\cdot38\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O}$ and $5(\mathrm{NH}_4)_2\mathrm{O}\cdot12\mathrm{MoO}_3\cdot7\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O}$ are wrong and so are the theories of Jander as far as they are based on the existence of such compounds.

This investigation has been supported by a grant, which is here gratefully acknowledged, from Statens Naturvetenskapliga Forskningsråd. Finally I wish to thank Dr F. Nydahl for valuable discussions of the analytical methods.

- 1. Carpéni, G. Bull. Soc. Chim. 14 (1947)
- 2. Zenker, F. E. J. prakt. Chem. 58 (1853)
- Svanberg, L., and Struve, H. J. prakt. Chem. 44 (1848) 282.
- Delafontaine, M. J. prakt. Chem. 95 (1865) 141.
- Delafontaine, M. Arch. phys. nat. [2] 23 (1865) 17.
- 6. Ullik, F. Ann. 144 (1867) 219.
- 7. Rosenheim, A. Z. anorg. Chem. 96 (1916)
- 8. Gmelin, Handbuch der anorganischen Chemie 8. Auflage 53:Mo. 222, 254.
- 9. Jander, G. Z. anorg. Chem. 194 (1930)
- 10. Byé, J. Bull. Soc. Chim. 10 (1943) 239.
- 11. Guiter, H. Bull. Soc. Chim. 9 (1942) 622.
- Guiter, H. Bull. Soc. Chim. 12 (1945) 74.
 Sturdivant, J. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 59
- (1937) 630.
 14. Nydahl, F. Lantbruks-Högskol. Ann. 10
 (1942) 120.
- Runeberg, G., and Samuelsson, O. Svensk Kem. Tid. 57 (1945) 250.
- Nydahl, F. Lantbruks-Högskol. Ann. 6 (1937) 45.

Received April 5, 1948.

^{**} Cf. Schoorl Rec. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas 59 (1940) 305-313.